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Abstract

In the area of lowland tropical forests of the largest on the island of Sumatra Tesso Nilo National Park has been ongoing process of transfer of forest functions initially as a source of genetic wealth and biodiversity, wildlife habitats typical, producing
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A. INTRODUCTION

Tesso Nilo National Park (TNNP) was originally part of the Limited Production Forest (HPT) group is located in the Tesso Nilo Forest Block. Then with Decree of Minister of Forestry No: 255 / Menhut-II/2004 dated July 19, 2004, a part of Tesso Nilo Forest Block of 38,576 Hectare. It was upgraded to conservation area, National Park. But its managing the organization, Tesso Nilo National Park Hectarell, was started in October 2006 and started to run effectively in early 2007. In 2009, Tesso Nilo expanded to 83,068 Hectare through Decree No. Menhut Number. SK. 663/Menhut-II/2009 dated October 15, 2009.

Tesso Nilo National Park several buffer areas spread over 4 districts and 22 villages in Riau Province. The 22 villages that are buffer zones, almost all of them are in conflict with the National Park, whether it was illegal logging, encroachment for plantation, encroachment of land and sale of national park land in the name of customary land and so on. An interesting feature of Tesso Nilo National Park is in addition to direct boundaries with 23 conflict-prone villages, Tesso Nilo also directly borders on 21 areas of companies engaged in Forest Tenure Rights, Palm Plantation and Industrial Plantation Forest. Supported by a situation where on the one hand people are faced with tenurial conflicts that never find a bright spot. Relying on the above back ground then the formulation of this research problem is How the form of tenurial conflict that occurred in the community in the buffer zone of Tesso Nilo National Park?.

B. METHODS

This research is done through several stages by applying the methods and techniques of data collection for each stage are as follows: Step I. Secondary data is collected through previous research reports, relevant agencies and agencies and relevant documents. Step II. Primary data collection on social structure, socio-cultural
life, livelihood patterns, economic activity in terms of types of rural enterprises, tenure conflict conflicts and family survival strategies in TNPP areas. Data were collected by direct observation, depth interviews, step III. Crosscheck through Focus Group Discussion (FGD) with the parties (multi-stakeholders/actors).

In conducting in-depth interviews and FGDs, between 5-10 people consisting of formal leaders, informal leaders and farmers in each of the target research villages visited have been key informants in the study.

C. RESULT

1. Communal Claims

In the vicinity of TNPP, there are still active HPH licenses, namely HPH PT. Siak Raya Timber covering 38,650 hectares, HPH PT. Hutani Sola Lestari covering 45,990 hectares, HPHTI PT RAPP (Riau Andalan Pulp And Paper), PT Rimba Lazuardi, PT Rimba Peranap Indah, PT. Putri Lindung Bulan and oil palm plantations are PT. Inti Indosawit Subur, PT Peputra Supra Jaya, PT Mitra Unggul Perkasa etc. Seeing the ecosystem in PT Hutani Sola Lestari and PT Siak Raya Timber working area is a compact area with TNPP should be managed in the form of protected area that serves as a buffer zone.

In the Tesso Nilo region is generally claimed by 3 ethnic groups as ulayat land. The three ethnic groups are Mount Sahilan, Logas Tanah Darat and Petalangan. Of the three ethnic groups, the Petalangan group claims almost all land ownership in TNPP.

Table 1. Distribution of Claim Ulayat in Tesso Nilo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ulayat Group</th>
<th>Ethnic Groups</th>
<th>Concession of HPH/TNPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batin Muncak Rantau</td>
<td>Petalangan</td>
<td>TNNP and PT. Siak raya Timber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batin Mudo Langkan</td>
<td>Petalangan</td>
<td>TNNP, PT Siak Raya Timber and PT. Hutani Sola Lestari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batin Hitam Sungai Medang</td>
<td>Petalangan</td>
<td>TNNP and PT. Siak raya Timber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batin Pelabi</td>
<td>Petalangan</td>
<td>PT. Siak Raya Timber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datuk Rajo Malayu</td>
<td>Logas Tanah Darat</td>
<td>TNNP dan PT. Hutani Sola Lestari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandailing</td>
<td>Gunung Sahilian</td>
<td>PT. Hutani Sola Lestari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunung Sahilian</td>
<td>Gunung Sahilian</td>
<td>PT. Hutani Sola Lestari</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WWF Indonesia - Riau Program Community Units 2007

The table above and the map below illustrate the division of ethnic Groups which can be divided into 3 groups of tenure ownership rights over ulayat rights. The three largest land holdings are owned by Batin Muncak Rantau, Batin Hitam Medang River and Mudo Langkan Batin. All areas of TNPP have been claimed by 7 pebatinan administratively in 9 villages in 6 sub-districts in 3 districts. The existence of claims of village areas and customary territory (ulayat land).

2. Communal Conflict with Oil Palm Plantation Company

There was a conflict between some residents generally 22 neighboring villages of TNPP with oil palm plantation companies. Residents have taken collective actions to express their protest against corporate behavior even since the start of the land clearing process. Although there are local residents who commit violent acts to protest and demand rights such as harvesting palm oil without the company’s permission, in general residents engage in peaceful tactics such as writing to and coming to the company’s management to lobby them to grant their requests.
3. Communal Conflict with PT. RAPP

From local residents’ view, the land exploited by PT. RAPP is included in their customary land area consisting of two classifications. First, the land planted with acacia by PT. RAPP is a forest land of a company’s former logging concession, which has never been cultivated by local people. However, according to local conception, this land belongs to the ulayat area of the community tribes of villages which at some time may be able to be cultivated as generation reserves of posterity. There is no compensation or other form of payment is received by the ulayat land owner of PT. RAPP. Second, land planted by PT. RAPP is a land used by local people who in local terminology is called sasok. So that, the demands made by the community are generally the surrounding villages of TNHP to PT. RAPP is not returned to ulayat land, but rather assistance for the construction of village public facilities and economic improvement of the underprivileged population.

4. Communal Conflict with the Company

The causes of conflict can be dividing in to two. First is the essence of the conflict. Without this cause the actors have no basis for conflict. Second is the factor that gives an opportunity for the emergence or for the development of conflict (chectarenge of reform era belongs to this factor). This study focuses on the cause of the conflicting essence among stakeholders around TNHP, because without touching this cause the conflict solution will not last long. There are two causes of conflict between local people and corporations, i.e the status of land used by the company is the ulayat land of the village community and the process of land acquisition that is not aligned with the village community. The two causes are interrelated and, therefore, cannot be understood apart from one another.

5. Vertical Conflict

TNHP is one of a national park that interacts with local government in 4 districts (Pelalawan Regency, Indragiri Hulu, Kampar and Kuantan Singingi) and Riau Province government. On the one Hectarend, provincial and district governments make policies that can affect local communities and companies as well as TNHP, such as policies related to land use. One example is the Riau Provincial Forestry Office issued a Decree on the utilization of forest products by PT. RAPP and affirmed that the Riau Forestry Service shectarell monitor and control the activities of PT. RAPP because the activity of this company spread in some area of Regency. On the other Hectarend, both local communities and companies seek help from local governments to solve the problems they face. For example, formal and informal leaders of communities of conflicting villages with companies seek the help of the Bupati, the District DPRD and the local Sub-district Heads to resolve their conflicts.

6. Population Takes Wood to Forest

There is a group of in Hectarebitants of the surrounding villages who take timber into the Tesso Nilo forest for sale. Their practice is called by the authorities as illegal logging. These are male locals who generally have no gardens; either rubber or palm oil plantations as a source of income or those who own rubber plantations but their children are old or the old rubber plantation is only 1 to 2 Hectare. The villagers around TNHP are farmers, not farmers. This livelihood is conditioned by the natural state of their swampy village and the lowland forest hills. As a result, the potential source of income of the population rests on the fields (mostly rubber plantations and recently palm oil). For those who do not have gardens by various causes such as lack of land, lack of capital to build gardens or accustomed for wood forests, from the 1980s taking timber to forests is an alternativ e source of livelihood. According to the recognition of both the formal and informal leaders of the village community, the number of villagers looking for timber into forests for sale Hectares recently diminished, not consciously conserved, but because timber is already difficult.
7. Selling Forest Land in Ulayat Land Area
   A. Land Acquisition Process Proposed by TNPP
   PT. RAPP hectares a major impact on forest areas. To expedite its production activities primarily to transport acacia wood from the plantation site to the factory, this large company creates a pivot road connecting one village to another. There are almost no villages around TNPP that are not connected by the PT. RAPP. Usually the roads made by this company used to include a forest area far enough from the village residents. The opening of forest area by PT road, PT.RAPP makes forest areas more economically viable, as they are likely to be transformed into rural areas and shifting cultivation as it is easy to mobilize people and goods.

   All this raises the commodification of forest land. In the eyes of local people, forest areas on their tribal land are seen as commodities, as valuable commodities to be sold to others. Creative and entrepreneurial village actors seize this opportunity as a business opportunity. They organized the sale of forest land over ulayat land that caused land occupancy in TNPP development proposed area. The problem is shown by land occupation cases in Toro Hectaremlet Village Lubuk Kembang Bunga Kecamatan Ukui Pelalawan District and Dusun Bukit Kesuma Kesuma Village Pangkalan Kurus Subdistrict Pelalawan District. The following will describe the occupation process of TNPP's proposed land in Bukit Kesuma Hectaremlet.

   B. Local Government Gives Opportunity for Occupation of Land
   Not only the traditional leaders of Desa Bukit Kesuma who legitimize occupational land, but also the village government apparatus. On the wall of a small shop in the center of Bukit Kesuma village was posted an announcement addressed to the buyers of forest land in the area. The announcement was signed by the village head, the head of the RW and the head of RT Kesuma Village. The contents of the announcement is that the village government asked the buyers of forest land to take care of the village apparatus Kesuma to get permit for the opening of the garden, because the permit pocketed by the buyer so far is only a letter of purcHectares of forest land. This announcement, in effect, states that the Desa Kesuma government Hectares legitimized the sale of forest land in Bukit Kesuma to outsiders. As a result of the Determination of the Considered by the Community this causes horizontal conflicts are common. In the name of indigenous people consider the TNPP area is their custom. While the government with the rule of law set the Tesso Nilo area is a conservation or protection area that must be maintained its existence.

D. DISCUSSION

The structural explanation of the social conflict phenomenon that occurred in the Tesso Nilo region refers to the conflict perspective of Ralf Dahrendorf which empHectaresizes elements of social structure as the basis for the creation of social conflict. Conflict is constituted by certain structural arrangements, which by its very nature always tend to give rise to the structural arrangement as existing. Thus Dahrendorf relates conflict to a particular social structure; rather Hectare assumes it relates to psychological variables (aggresive properties) or descriptive and acci
dental variables (Poloma 2003).

   Regard to the limited sources of the fulfillment of living necessities, there are at least three factors that are the source of conflict between the two parties, namely interests, power, and rights, which:
   1) Interest as the object of need and desire that is the source of conflict. Both parties have the same needs and desires for the disputed object, such as goods, money, services, etc.
   2) Power as an object of need and desire that is the source of conflict. Both parties have the same need and desire to obtain status and role so that it Hectares dominant authority.
   3) Right as the object of need and desire this is the source of the conflict. Both sides have the same need and desire to obtain their demands, as each feels
that the claim relates to its rights and responsibilities.

Johan Galtung introduces three dimensions of violence, namely structural violence, cultural violence, and direct violence. Table below, the typology of violence mentioned by Galtung (Galtung 1990).

### Table 2. Galtung’s typology of violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival needs</th>
<th>Well-being needs</th>
<th>Identity needs</th>
<th>Freedom needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Violence</td>
<td>Killing</td>
<td>Desocialization</td>
<td>Repression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maiming, siege, misery,</td>
<td>resocialization</td>
<td>detention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sanction</td>
<td>second citizen</td>
<td>expulsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Violence</td>
<td>exploitation</td>
<td>Penetration</td>
<td>Marginalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exploitation</td>
<td>segmentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The power of resources and structural power reinforce each other. Galtung reveals that structural, cultural, and immediate violence can hinder the fulfillment of basic needs. These basic needs are sustainability needs, well-being needs, freedom (needs, freedom needs), and identity needs. If these four basic needs are subjected to pressure or violence from personal and structural power, then violent conflict will emerge (Galtung 1973; Susan 2009).

### E. CONCLUSION

1. Historically TNNP areas were sourced mostly from the areas of former HPH and HTI, plus the customary land area of Batin Petalangan. Because of the unclear boundaries resulted in overlapping of land. This overlapping of land is the main source of conflict.

2. Tenurial conflicts that occur not only involve the community with the company, but also involving the community with the TNNPHall, the Community with migrants who buy land to indigenous people and also the group of encroachers.
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